• •	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/058,600	KIM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jessica L. Rossi	1733
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) <u>Jessica L. Rossi</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Sheth.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 January 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
	`	
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summater 	he examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
	•	
	•	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	nt/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called Applicants to inform them that further species election needed to be made within Group II, which was elected by Applicants in response to the first restriction requirement. Failure to include the species restriction within the first restriction requirement was an oversight on the examiner's part. Applicants requested further restriction be mailed out.